

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of

1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)	2. REPORT DATE	3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED
	2/13/2002	Final Report 1 Jan 1999 - 31 Dec 2001
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE		5. FUNDING NUMBERS
Simulation of Protein and Peptide-Based Biomaterials		N00014-99-1-0245
6. AUTHOR(S)		8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER
Valerie Daggett, Ph.D.		
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)		10. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER
Department of Medicinal Chemistry, Box 357610 University of Washington Seattle, WA 98195-7610		
9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)		11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES
Office of Naval Research 800 N. Quincy St. Arlington, VA 22217-5000		20020220 046

12a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT	12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE
Distribution Unlimited	

13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words)	
<p>The overall goal of the proposed research is to pursue realistic molecular modeling studies of the stability, dynamics, structure, function, and folding of proteins and protein-based biomaterials in solution. Simulations of elastin-based polymers will investigate the driving forces and mechanism for the unusual temperature-dependent conformational changes, knowledge that will assist in redesign of this system for specific applications and the design of other such molecules. Studies of the stabilities of cyclic peptides and their multimers (nanotubes) to both environment and peptide substitutions will be addressed, as well as their mechanism of transport, selectivity, and gating. Methods are being developed for identifying and optimizing small, robust scaffolds for use as novel receptors, or biosensors. Functional optimization (typically binding) will also be explored.</p>	

14. SUBJECT TERMS		15. NUMBER OF PAGES	
biomaterial, molecular dynamics, modeling, biosensor		3	
16. PRICE CODE			
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT
unclassified	unclassified	unclassified	UL

GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING SF 298

The Report Documentation Page (RDP) is used in announcing and cataloging reports. It is important that this information be consistent with the rest of the report, particularly the cover and title page. Instructions for filling in each block of the form follow. It is important to *stay within the lines* to meet optical scanning requirements.

Block 1. Agency Use Only (Leave blank).

Block 2. Report Date. Full publication date including day, month, and year, if available (e.g. 1 Jan 88). Must cite at least the year.

Block 3. Type of Report and Dates Covered. State whether report is interim, final, etc. If applicable, enter inclusive report dates (e.g. 10 June 87 - 30 June 88).

Block 4. Title and Subtitle. A title is taken from the part of the report that provides the most meaningful and complete information. When a report is prepared in more than one volume, repeat the primary title, add volume number, and include subtitle for the specific volume. On classified documents enter the title classification in parentheses.

Block 5. Funding Numbers. To include contract and grant numbers; may include program element number(s), project number(s), task number(s), and work unit number(s). Use the following labels:

C - Contract	PR - Project
G - Grant	TA - Task
PE - Program Element	WU - Work Unit
	Accession No.

Block 6. Author(s). Name(s) of person(s) responsible for writing the report, performing the research, or credited with the content of the report. If editor or compiler, this should follow the name(s).

Block 7. Performing Organization Name(s) and Address(es). Self-explanatory.

Block 8. Performing Organization Report Number. Enter the unique alphanumeric report number(s) assigned by the organization performing the report.

Block 9. Sponsoring/Monitoring Agency Name(s) and Address(es). Self-explanatory.

Block 10. Sponsoring/Monitoring Agency Report Number. (If known)

Block 11. Supplementary Notes. Enter information not included elsewhere such as: Prepared in cooperation with...; Trans. of ...; To be published... When a report is revised, include a statement whether the new report supersedes or supplements the older report.

Block 12a. Distribution/Availability Statement.

Denotes public availability or limitations. Cite any availability to the public. Enter additional limitations or special markings in all capitals (e.g. NOFORN, REL, ITAR).

DOD - See DODD 5230.24, "Distribution Statements on Technical Documents."

DOE - See authorities.

NASA - See Handbook NHB 2200.2.

NTIS - Leave blank.

Block 12b. Distribution Code.

DOD - Leave blank.

DOE - Enter DOE distribution categories from the Standard Distribution for Unclassified Scientific and Technical Reports.

NASA - Leave blank.

NTIS - Leave blank.

Block 13. Abstract. Include a brief (*Maximum 200 words*) factual summary of the most significant information contained in the report.

Block 14. Subject Terms. Keywords or phrases identifying major subjects in the report.

Block 15. Number of Pages. Enter the total number of pages.

Block 16. Price Code. Enter appropriate price code (*NTIS only*).

Blocks 17. - 19. Security Classification. Self-explanatory. Enter U. S. Security Classification in accordance with the U.S. Security Regulations (i.e., UNCLASSIFIED). If form contains classified information, stamp classification on the top and bottom of the page.

Block 20. Limitation of Abstract. This block must be completed to assign a limitation to the abstract. Enter either UL (unlimited) or SAR (same as report). An entry in this block is necessary if the abstract is to be limited. If blank, the abstract is assumed to be unlimited.

FINAL REPORT

Grant #: N00014-99-1-0245

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR: Valerie Daggett

INSTITUTION: University of Washington

GRANT TITLE: Simulation of Protein- and Peptide-Based Biomaterials

AWARD PERIOD: 1 January 1999 - 31 December 2001

OBJECTIVE: To pursue realistic molecular modeling studies of the stability, dynamics, structure, function, and folding of proteins and protein/peptide-based biomaterials in solution.

APPROACH: Simulations of elastin-based polymers are being performed to investigate the driving forces and mechanism for the unusual temperature-dependent conformational changes, knowledge that will assist in redesign of this system for specific applications and the design of other such molecules. This effect is also modulated by the solvent environment and realistic representations of the solvent are necessary to capture these effects.

ACCOMPLISHMENTS: We have been able to reproduce the inverse temperature transition observed with elastin-based peptides. We have performed single-molecular 'pulling' simulations to characterize the molecular basis of elasticity. We have performed 10-ns simulations of a 90-mer of elastin in water at 7 different temperatures. Below the transition temperature the molecule remains expanded, but it does not retain Urry's precise β -spiral structure in water---as has also been observed experimentally. At higher temperatures the molecule undergoes hydrophobically driven collapse with an associated expulsion of hydration waters from its surface. This is the first time this type of behavior has been simulated, and we hope to obtain a molecular explanation for elasticity. To this end we have performed single-molecule 'pulling' simulations of elastin both above and below its transition temperature from different starting conformations. Removal of the pulling force (like what a protein would experience in AFM pulling experiments) leads to rapid contraction of elastin, particularly at the higher temperatures. We have found that its elasticity is due to the same fundamental forces that are responsible for its inverse temperature transition. For comparison, we also simulated the pulling of barnase, whose folding behavior in solution has been mapped in detail through a collaboration involving my lab and Alan Fersht's. The pulling simulations

were done in parallel with AFM experiments by Jane Clarke (Cambridge).

We are characterizing the conformational behavior of the elastin-based biopolymers in solvent and, in particular, investigating the molecular basis of elasticity. We have performed numerous all-atom molecular dynamics simulations of an elastin peptide. We are now extending those studies to investigate how changes in the sequence and length of the peptide affect its conformational behavior. We have also been inserting small portions of a small globular protein to investigate their ability to act as temperature-controlled switches of stability. We have a couple of very promising designs in which an elastin switch that has been introduced into chymotrypsin inhibitor 2 stabilizes the structure to thermal denaturation. In addition, since the behavior of elastin can also be modulated by solvent and our work in this area is an extension of our solvent-development studies, we continue to explore the effects of different solvents on peptide and protein structure and dynamics.

SIGNIFICANCE: The proposed research has bearing on ONR biosensor and biomaterial development. An understanding of the conformational behavior of biomaterials and how they interact with other molecules and solvent will aid in their design and optimization for Navy uses. The proposed research should aid in the design of biomaterials and biosensors with specific functional attributes tailored to the conformational behavior.

PUBLICATIONS:

1. Alonso, D.O.V.&V. Daggett, Staphylococcal Protein A: Unfolding Pathways, Unfolded States, and Differences between the B and E Domains. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, **97**, 133-138, 2000.
2. Mayor, U., Johnson, C.M., Daggett , V. and A.R. Fersht, Protein Folding and Unfolding in Microseconds to Nanoseconds by Experiment and Simulation, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, **97**, 13518-13522, 2000.
3. Li, B., Alonso, D.O.V. & V. Daggett, The Molecular Basis for the Inverse Temperature Transition of Elastin. *J. Mol. Biol.*, **305**, 581-592, 2001.
4. Best, R.B., Li, B., Steward, A., Daggett, V. & Clarke, J. Can non-mechanical proteins withstand force? Stretching barnase by AFM and MD simulation. *Biophys. J.*, **81**, 2344-2356, 2001.
5. Li, B., Alonso, D.O.V., Bennion, B.J., and Daggett, V., Hydrophobic Hydration is an Important Source of Elasticity

in Elastin-Based Biopolymers, submitted to *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **123**, 11991-11998, 2001.

6. Zou, Q., Bennion, B.J., Daggett, V. & Murphy, K.P. The Molecular Mechanism of Stabilization of Proteins by TMAO and its Ability to Counteract the Effects of Urea. Submitted to *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2002, in press. Available on-line at <http://pubs.acs.org/isubscribe/journals/jacsat/asap/pdf/ja004206b.pdf>
7. Bin, L., Alonso, D.O.V., and Daggett, V., Stabilization of globular proteins via introduction of temperature-activated elastin-based switches, *Structure*, in preparation.
8. Bin, L., and Daggett, V., Molecular basis for temperature- and pH-induced conformational transitions in elastin-based peptides, *Biopolymers*, in preparation.
9. Bennion, B. and Daggett, V., The molecular basis for the chemical denaturation of proteins by urea, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA.*, in preparation.
10. Bennion, B. and Daggett, V., The stabilization of proteins by trimethylamine N-oxide and counteraction of the effect of urea, *J. Mol. Biol.*, in preparation.
11. Beck, D.A., Alonso, D.O.V., Daggett, V., A microscopic view of the solvation of proteins, *Biophysical Chemistry*, in preparation.
12. Laidig, K.E., Bennion, B., Alonso, D.O.V. and V. Daggett, Macromolecular Simulations in Mixed Solvents: Development of Solvent Systems, Properties of the Solvent Systems and Modulation of the Hydrophobic Effect, *J. Comp. Chem.*, in preparation.